Proceeding:	In the Matter of 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review Amendment of Part of the			
Applicant Name:	Craig B. Johnson, AA0ZZ			
Proceeding Name:	98-143 Author Nam	ne: Craig B. Johnson, AA0ZZ	13000710	
Lawfirm Name	the state of the s			
Contact Name	Contact Email: johns516@tc.umn.edu  DOCKET FILE COPY  4745 Kent Street			<b>DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL</b>
Address Line 1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Address Line 2				
City	r. St. Paul	State: MN		
Zip Code	e: 55126 Postal Code:	A Moude	ng Status: UNRESTRICTED	
Subriission Type	CO Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED			
Subjec	t:	en substate Filody' F	ile Number.	RECEIVED
DA Numbe	the same and the s	Exparto cato :	Filed From: EMAIL	I have been a state of the same to
Cale dar Date Filed: 08/30/1998 7:12:59 PM Of cial Date Filed: 08/31/1998		Date Disseminated: Date Released/Denied:	Initials:	NUC o 1 1000
				AUG 3 1 1998
Confirmation	on #	Date Filed:		

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

NOTERNET FRANC

98-143
8/3/198

Dear FCC.

Thank you for requesting comments regarding this proposal.

# My background:

I hold an Extra class amateur radio license and am an Electrical Engineer.

I am also the coordinator/leader of a VE testing team (ARRL).

I was originally licensed in 1964.

I operate almost exclusively on CW.

I will respond to a number of questions that you requested comments on. To make it easy to see what question my comment is referencing, I will list the question and then my response.

#### 1) Question:

Were we to reduce the Morse code elements, should we add elements to the

written examination to ensure a working knowledge of the new digital technologies which, in part, are replacing the Morse code?

My comment:

Yes, most definitely. This is a very important frontier for amateur radio. An introductory study of digital technologies should be required

of all applicants.

### 2) Question:

for

or

Should we consider specifying the method of examining for Morse code proficiency, such as requiring fill-in-the-blank or copying one out of five minutes sent, instead of allowing VE's to determine how to test

code speed?

My Comment:

YES, PLEASE! It puts the VEs in a very awkward position to have to make

this decision. A fill-in-the-blank test would be more difficult, and a solid copy test would be even more difficult, but it nees to be specified.

My choice would be to always allow the minute of solid copy to pass, but

a fill-in-the-blank test could be administered if the applicant did not have a minute of solid copy. The multiple choice exams are much too easy. For example, I think a multiple choice exam for 20 wpm is easier than a fill-in-the-blank for 13 wpm. Let's make them consistant! Just as the VEs can't give decide an whether they want to give "easy"

"hard" written examinations, we shouldn't have to make the decision regarding the difficulty of Morse tests to administer either.

Along this same line, some other rules need to be clearly spelled out:

a) Can an applicant write down "dot dash" on his paper and come back
later

to decipher it to be an "A"? This is not currently specified. Some VE teams allow it, and others don't. Some don't mention this before the test

is administered, and can have major confrontation if they decide to enforce

a their understanding of this rule. My preference is NOT to allow the

written "dot dash", but I would like it specified one way or the other.
b) How much time is the applicant allowed to go back through his text

make corrections? One minute? Unlimited? My preference is unlimited.

#### 3) Ouestion:

Should VE's be authorized to request medical informatino from the certifying physician pertaining to the examinee's disability?

My Comment:

I have never had an applicant present a medical waiver application. From  $\,$ 

what I have heard from other testing teams, I do think the medical waiver  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +$ 

is often acquired from sympathetic and possibly over-worked physicians.

think the form should require more than just a physician's signature, and

the form should state that the physician's license is in jeopardy for fraud if he knowingly submits a false affadavit.

4)Q: Should written examinations be modified to provide VEs and VECs additional

flexibility in determining the specific contents of written examinations?

A: I think it is appropriate for the FCC to specify the number of questions

in various topics.

However, I would like to see the written examinations changed so that answers

cannot be memorized. It is a much too easy for memorize answers to categories

of questions. This could be done by either of these methods:

- a) Enlarge the question pool by at least a factor of 10, or
- b) Allow problems to be generated by the VE team computer with variables and  $\,$

answers selected from a very large pool.

Either of these will still allow immediate, accurate and objective grading,

but would guarantee the applicant has a basic understanding of the material

instead of just the ability to memorize.

I am disgusted by the books on the market which show applicants how to guess

at the answers to the multiple choice problems instead of showing them how to

do the calculations. It may mean the applicant has to do some reading or to

take a class. That's good! I think the examination should be an "starting

point" rather than a "graduation". It should be be a hurdle! Not to
keep

people out but to be demonstrate a true level of understanding. Yes, this may

eliminate some 5 year old Extra Class licensees. Good.

### 5) Question:

Should General Class operators who are VEs prepare and administer examinations

for a Technician Class operator license?

My Comment:

No. I think all VEs should have an Advanced or Extra class license.

#### 6) Question:

Should Advanced Class operators who are VEs prepare and administer examinations

for a General Class operator license?

My comment:

Yes.

### 7) Question:

What Morse code requirements should be required for the various licenses?

My comment:

Five words per minute

Twelve words per minute for Advanced and Extra.

I do not think a higher speed CW test is required. If people want to work

CW, they will. (This is from an person who operates almost exclusively on

CW, and at 35 words per minute! I realize this is not for everyone, even

though it is easy for me.) If an amateur wants to experiment with another

aspect of amateur radio, that's fine with me.

## 8) Additional comments:

band. Amateur radio is unique in that the licensees are allowed to build

equipment and experiment.

b) I don't think it is necessary to increase the numbers of people ENTERING

the amateur radio service. I think it is more important to KEEP them. We need to keep the unique characteristics if we are going to attract and

keep people.